

pls Scan into File

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/940,235	SAHNI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheridan L. Swope	1656	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheridan L. Swope.

(3) Rebecca Prouty.

(2) Kathleen Kerr.

(4) Mark Heyman.

*Chid Iyer
Girish Sahni*

Date of Interview: 08 November 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3,32 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Goldstein et al, 1996.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*103 Rejection] of record discussed
112 2nd paragraph] of record discussed
112 1st paragraph] of record discussed*

*Discussed issue of inherency of lag in protein
rendered obvious.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Swope

Examiner's signature, if required

mm